

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/816,954	SHAHOIAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexander S. Beck	2629	

All Participants:

(1) Alexander S. Beck.

(2) Suvashis Bhattacharya.

Date of Interview: 25 April 2008

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 4:00pm EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

46-50, 52-55 and 85-93

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment, as detailed in the attached Office action, in order to place the application into condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexander S. Beck/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)